

Bornes supérieures à la distance à l'élasticité cubique ou orthotrope

R. Desmorat, B. Kolev

Laboratoire de Mécanique Paris-Saclay (LMPS)

GDR GDM – La Rochelle – 26 juin 2024

1/27

K ロ > K 個 > K ミ > K ミ > 「 ミ

OUTLINE

- [Distance to a symmetry class](#page-13-0)
- [Upper bounds estimates rather than distances](#page-16-0)
- [Likely symmetry coordinate system](#page-21-0) [Upper bounds estimate of the distance to cubic symmetry](#page-21-0)

COMPORTEMENT D'UN RESSORT/BARRE ELASTIQUE ´

Ressort de raideur *k*:

$$
F = k \,\Delta \ell = k \, (\ell - \ell_0)
$$

Barre de section S_0 , de longueur initiale ℓ_0 , de raideur *E*:

$$
\sigma = \frac{F}{S_0} = E \frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell_0} = E \epsilon, \qquad E = \frac{k\ell_0}{S_0}
$$

Contrainte σ et (petite) déformation ϵ

$$
\sigma:=\frac{F}{S_0},\qquad \epsilon:=\frac{\Delta \ell}{\ell_0}
$$

メロトメ 御 トメ 君 トメ 君 ト 3/27

LOI D'ÉLASTICITÉ TRIDIMENSIONNELLE

Les lois de comportement 3D font intervenir les tenseurs

- (variable) des contraintes $\sigma = (\sigma^{ij})$, contravariant d'ordre 2,
- \bullet (variable) des petites déformations $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_{ij})$, covariant d'ordre 2,
- (constitutif) d'élasticité $\mathbf{E} = (E^{ijkl})$, contravariant d'ordre 4,

Elasticité linéaire 3D

La relation scalaire $\sigma = E \epsilon$ se généralise,

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{E} : \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \qquad \sigma^{ij} = E^{ijkl} \epsilon_{kl}, \qquad E^{ijkl} = E^{jikl} = E^{klij}.
$$

Déplacement \boldsymbol{u} ,

$$
\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{\flat} + (\nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{\flat})^t \right), \qquad \epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i} \right).
$$

LOI D'ÉLASTICITÉ TRIDIMENSIONNELLE

Les lois de comportement 3D font intervenir les tenseurs,

- des contraintes $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma^{ij})$, contravariant d'ordre 2,
- des (petites) déformations $\epsilon = (\epsilon_{ii})$, covariant d'ordre 2,
- d'élasticité $\mathbf{E} = (E^{ijkl})$, contravariant d'ordre 4,

Elasticité linéaire, en base orthonormée,

La relation scalaire $\sigma = E \epsilon$ se généralise,

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{E} : \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \qquad \sigma_{ij} = E_{ijkl} \epsilon_{kl}, \qquad E_{ijkl} = E_{jikl} = E_{klij}.
$$

Déplacement \boldsymbol{u} ,

$$
\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{\flat} + (\nabla \boldsymbol{u}^{\flat})^t \right), \qquad \epsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(u_{i,j} + u_{j,i} \right).
$$

ELASTICITÉ LINÉAIRE ISOTROPE

$$
\sigma = 2\mu \epsilon + \lambda \operatorname{tr} \epsilon \mathbf{1} = 2G \epsilon^D + K \operatorname{tr} \epsilon \mathbf{1},
$$

\n
$$
(\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu \epsilon_{ij}^D + \lambda \epsilon_{kk} \delta_{ij} = 2G \epsilon_{ij}^D + K \epsilon_{kk} \delta_{ij}),
$$

\n
$$
G = \mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}, \qquad K = \frac{1}{3}(2\mu + 3\lambda) = \frac{E}{3(1-2\nu)}.
$$

Tenseur d'élasticité isotrope

$$
\mathbf{E} = 2\mu \mathbf{I} + \lambda \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \qquad \left(E_{ijkl} = \mu \left(\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} \right) + \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right) \n= 2G \mathbf{J} + K \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \qquad \left(E_{ijkl} = 2G \left(I_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right) + K \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right).
$$

ELASTICITÉ LINÉAIRE ISOTROPE

$$
\sigma = 2\mu \epsilon + \lambda \operatorname{tr} \epsilon \mathbf{1} = 2G \epsilon^D + K \operatorname{tr} \epsilon \mathbf{1},
$$

\n
$$
(\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu \epsilon_{ij}^D + \lambda \epsilon_{kk} \delta_{ij} = 2G \epsilon_{ij}^D + K \epsilon_{kk} \delta_{ij}),
$$

\n
$$
G = \mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}, \qquad K = \frac{1}{3}(2\mu + 3\lambda) = \frac{E}{3(1-2\nu)}.
$$

Tenseur d'élasticité isotrope

$$
\mathbf{E} = 2\mu \mathbf{I} + \lambda \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \qquad \left(E_{ijkl} = \mu \left(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}\right) + \lambda \delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\right) \n= 2G\mathbf{J} + K\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \qquad \left(E_{ijkl} = 2G\left(I_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\right) + K\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\right).
$$

Tenseur de souplesse isotrope

$$
\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E}^{-1} = \frac{1+\nu}{E} \mathbf{I} - \frac{\nu}{E} \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \qquad \left(S_{ijkl} = \frac{1+\nu}{E} I_{ijkl} - \frac{\nu}{E} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right)
$$

= $\frac{1}{2G} \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{9K} \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}, \qquad \left(S_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2G} J_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{9K} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \right).$

 000

POLYCRISTAUX (EX: MÉTAUX)

Un matériau polycristallin est un matériau solide constitué d'une multitude de petits (mono)cristaux de taille et d'orientation variees. ´ Son comportement macroscopique peut être isotrope.

Figure: Observation MEB en imagerie d'électrons rétrodiffusés d'un polycristal de zirconium après polissage mécanique et électrolytique (d'après D. Cadelmaison).

POLYCRISTAUX ORTHOTROPES The (14% CR(of the CR(rolling direction) in (Theorem grains(μ

Un matériau polycristallin est un matériau solide constitué d'une multitude de strong(a texture, as a texture, as the most construction varies). Après laminage, son comportement macroscopique peut être orthotrope. (n) On (n) Cristaux de tann

Figure: Acier "14%Cr 14 ferritic ODS" (Oxide Dispersion Strengthened, Fe-14Cr-1W-0.3Ti-0.25 Y_2O_3 , observation EBSD, Jaumier et al, 2019): (a) longitudinal section, (b) transverse section.

MONOCRISTAUX CUBIQUES D'AUBES DE MOTEURS D'HÉLICOPTÈRES

Figure 1.2 – Example of the initial cubic microstructure of Single Crystal Superalloys: (a) SEM observation of a crystal oriented along < 001 $>$ (Cormier (2006), MC2 alloy) and (b) schematic representation of the microstructure.

[Linear elasticity](#page-1-0) [Distance to a symmetry class](#page-13-0) [Upper bounds estimates rather than distances](#page-16-0) [Symmetry coordinate system](#page-21-0)

BASE NATURELLE D'ANISOTROPIE

b) $\langle 111 \rangle$ cuboidal microstructure and autocorrelation function (Caccuri et al, 2018). $(1 - 4)$ $(1 - 4$ Figure: a) CMSX4 $\langle 001 \rangle \gamma / \gamma'$ cuboidal microstructure and autocorrelation function;

NORMAL FORM

An elasticity tensor **E** in the symmetry stratum $\Sigma_{[G]}$ (of symmetry class $[G])$ may have exactly as symmetry group the canonical representative group *G*,

$$
g \star \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}, \quad \forall g \in G,
$$

where $(g \star \mathbf{E})_{ijkl} = g_{ip}g_{ja}g_{kr}g_{ls}E_{pars}$.

In that case, we say that E is in its normal form (expressed in its natural basis).

Example (of cubic symmetry, in natural cubic basis)

When $G = \mathbb{O}$, elasticity tensors in cubic normal form are written as

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{[E]} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{1111} & E_{1122} & E_{1122} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ E_{1122} & E_{1111} & E_{1122} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ E_{1122} & E_{1122} & E_{1111} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2E_{1212} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2E_{1212} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2E_{1212} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}
$$

in Kelvin matrix representation.

PROBLÉMATIQUE MÉCANIQUE

Les lois de comportement 1 sont formulées pour des symétries matérielles initiales particulières

- isotropie $[O(3)]$,
- symétrie cubique $[0]$, orthotropie $[1]_2$.

Des essais mécaniques permettent de mesurer les paramètres matériaux bruités (ici les E_{iikl} , Arts, 1993, François, 1995).

Ouestions

- Ouelle est la base naturelle d'anisotropie ? Réponse mécanique préférée.
- \bullet Quelles sont les paramètres d'élasticité du tenseur $\mathbf E$ le plus proche d'une classe de symétrie $[G]$ donnée ?
- A quelle distance *d*(E, [*G*]) le tenseur E est il de la strate de symétrie $\Sigma_{[G]}$ correspondante ?
- Solution approchées ? (bornes supérieures $M(\mathbf{E}, [G]) > d(\mathbf{E}, [G])$)

¹élasto-(visco-)plastiques couplées ou non à l'endommage[me](#page-11-0)[nt.](#page-4-0) Ω

OUTLINE

- [Distance to a symmetry class](#page-13-0)
- [Upper bounds estimates rather than distances](#page-16-0)
- [Likely symmetry coordinate system](#page-21-0) [Upper bounds estimate of the distance to cubic symmetry](#page-21-0)

DISTANCE TO A SYMMETRY CLASS [*G*]

Even if some analytical attempts exist (Vianello, 1997, Stahn et al, 2020), the distance to an elasticity symmetry class problem (Gazis et al, 1963) is often

- solved numerically, following Arts et al $(1991, 1993)$ and Francois et al (1995, 1996, 1998),
- using the parameterization by a rotation *g*:

$$
d(\mathbf{E}_0, [G]) := \min_{\mathbf{E} \in \overline{\Sigma}_{[G]}} \|\mathbf{E}_0 - \mathbf{E}\| = \min_{g \in \text{SO}(3)} \|\mathbf{E}_0 - g \star \mathbf{R}_G(g^t \star \mathbf{E}_0)\|
$$

- G is a symmetry group $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{D}_2, \mathbb{D}_3, \mathbb{D}_4, \mathbb{O}, O(2), SO(3),$ Forte–Vianello, 1996),
- $\mathbf{R}_G(\mathbf{E}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \star \mathbf{E}$ is the Reynolds (group averaging) operator, $\mathbf{E} = g_{opt} \star \mathbf{R}_G (g_{opt}^t \star \mathbf{E}_0).$

DISTANCE TO A SYMMETRY CLASS [*G*]

Even if some analytical attempts exist (Vianello, 1997, Stahn et al, 2020), the distance to an elasticity symmetry class problem (Gazis et al, 1963) is often

- solved numerically, following Arts et al $(1991, 1993)$ and François et al (1995, 1996, 1998),
- \bullet using the parameterization rotation *g* / normal form **A** (Dellinger, 2005):

$$
d(\mathbf{E}_0, [G]) := \min_{\mathbf{E} \in \overline{\Sigma}_{[G]}} \|\mathbf{E}_0 - \mathbf{E}\| = \min_{g, \mathbf{A}} \|\mathbf{E}_0 - g \star \mathbf{A}\|
$$

- *G* is a symmetry group $(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{D}_2, \mathbb{D}_3, \mathbb{D}_4, \mathbb{O}, O(2), SO(3)$, Forte–Vianello, 1996),
- $\mathbf{R}_G(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \star \mathbf{A}$ is the Reynolds (group averaging) operator,
- $\bullet \mathbf{E} = g_{opt} \star \mathbf{A}_{opt}.$

OUTLINE

[Linear elasticity](#page-1-0)

[Distance to a symmetry class](#page-13-0)

[Upper bounds estimates rather than distances](#page-16-0)

[Likely symmetry coordinate system](#page-21-0) [Upper bounds estimate of the distance to cubic symmetry](#page-21-0)

> K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 君 ▶ K 君 ▶ │ 君 16 / 27

UPPER BOUNDS ESTIMATES RATHER THAN DISTANCES

For 3D elasticity, upper bounds estimates of the distance to a symmetry stratum have been formulated

- by Gazis, Tadjbakhsh and Toupin (1963) for cubic symmetry,
- \bullet by Klimeš (2018) for transverse isotropy,
- and by Stahn, Müller and Bertram (2020) for all symmetry classes, using a second-order tensor t (a covariant) of the elasticity tensor introduced by Backus (1970). This covariant is assumed to carry the likely symmetry coordinate system of E_0 .
- \bullet by us (2024), a second order tensor **a** (not a covariant) being assumed to carry the likely symmetry coordinate system.

All second-order covariants of an exactly cubic elasticity tensor are isotropic. Therefore, for a material expected to be cubic, a methodology based on second-order covariants is probably meaningless.

INVARIANTS /COVARIANTS OF THE ELASTICITY TENSOR

Covariants of a tensor **E** satisfy the rule, $\forall g \in SO(3)$,

$$
\mathbf{C}(g \star \mathbf{E}) = g \star \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{E}), \qquad \left(I(g \star \mathbf{E}) = I(\mathbf{E}) \text{ for invariants } I(\mathbf{E})\right).
$$

A covariant $C(E)$ of E inherits the symmetry of E: $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{E})$ has at least the symmetry of $\mathbf{E}, G_{\mathbf{E}} \subset G_{\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{E})}$.

Ex: harmonic decomposition of E (Backus, 1970, Cowin, 1989, Baerheim, 1993):

$$
\mathbf{E} = (\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{d}', \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{H}) \in \mathbb{H}^0 \oplus \mathbb{H}^0 \oplus \mathbb{H}^2 \oplus \mathbb{H}^2 \oplus \mathbb{H}^4
$$

• The quantities

$$
\lambda=\lambda(\mathbf{E}),\quad \mu=\mu(\mathbf{E}),\quad \mathbf{d}'=\mathbf{d}'(\mathbf{E}),\quad \mathbf{v}'=\mathbf{v}'(\mathbf{E}),\quad \mathbf{H}=\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{E}),
$$

are covariants $C(E)$ of E (of degree one and resp. order 0, 0, 2, 2 and 4). λ λ λ , μ / **d**'(**[E](#page-16-0)**), **v**'(**E**), **H**(**E**) are linear invariants [/ c](#page-17-0)[ov](#page-4-0)a[ria](#page-18-0)[n](#page-4-0)[t](#page-15-0)[s](#page-16-0) [o](#page-20-0)[f](#page-4-0) **E**[.](#page-20-0)

POLYNOMIAL COVARIANTS

• There exist polynomial covariants of higher degree, for example (Boehler et al, 1994)

$$
\mathbf{d}_2(\mathbf{H}) := \mathbf{H} \vdots \mathbf{H}, \qquad (i.e., \; (\mathbf{d}_2)_{ij} = H_{ipqr} H_{pqrj}),
$$

- The algebra of (totally symmetric) polynomial covariants of the elasticity tensor has been defined by Olive et al (2021).
- A minimal integrity basis for the invariant algebra of $H \in \mathbb{H}^4$ has been derived in (Boehler et al, 2021) (it is of cardinal 9).
- \bullet A minimal integrity basis for the invariant algebra of $\mathbf E$ has been derived in (Auffray et al, 2021) and (Olive et al, 2021) (it is of cardinal 294).
- A minimal integrity basis for the covariant algebra of $H \in \mathbb{H}^4$ has been derived in (Olive et al, 2021) (it is of cardinal 70).

LITERATURE UPPER BOUNDS ESTIMATES The distance of \mathbf{E}_0 to $\Sigma_{[G]}$ is defined by

$$
d(\mathbf{E}_0, \Sigma_{[G]}) = \min_{\mathbf{E} \in \Sigma_{[G]}} \|\mathbf{E}_0 - \mathbf{E}\|.
$$

Estimates of the distance to a symmetry class are obtained as

$$
M(\mathbf{E}_0, \Sigma_{[G]}) = \min_{\mathbf{E} \in S \subset \Sigma_{[G]}} \|\mathbf{E}_0 - \mathbf{E}\|,
$$

i.e., as the minimum over a subset *S* of the considered symmetry stratum.

It satisfies thus

$$
d(\mathbf{E}_0, \Sigma_{[G]}) \leq M(\mathbf{E}_0, \Sigma_{[G]}).
$$

Examples: Gazis–Tadjbakhsh–Toupin (1963), Vianello (1997), Klimeš (2018) (2018) (2018) (2018) (2018) (2018) , Stahn–Müller–Bertram (2020) , Oliver-Leb[lon](#page-19-0)[d](#page-4-0) [et](#page-19-0) [al](#page-20-0)[.](#page-20-0) (2021) .

20 / 27

OUTLINE

[Linear elasticity](#page-1-0)

[Distance to a symmetry class](#page-13-0)

[Upper bounds estimates rather than distances](#page-16-0)

[Likely symmetry coordinate system](#page-21-0) [Upper bounds estimate of the distance to cubic symmetry](#page-21-0)

> K ロ K K 個 K K 君 K K 君 K … 21/27

NATURAL COORDINATE SYSTEM OF A CUBIC ELASTICITY TENSOR

Harmonic decomposition of E (Backus, 1970, Cowin, 1989, Baerheim, 1993):

 $\mathbf{E} = (\lambda, \mu, \mathbf{d}', \mathbf{v}', \mathbf{H}) \in \mathbb{H}^0 \oplus \mathbb{H}^0 \oplus \mathbb{H}^2 \oplus \mathbb{H}^2 \oplus \mathbb{H}^4$

Let $\mathbf{E} = (\lambda, \mu, 0, 0, \mathbf{H}) \in \overline{\Sigma}_{[0]}$ be a cubic elasticity tensor.

It has been shown (Abramian et al, 2020) that an orthotropic solution a' of the linear equation

$$
\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{H}\times\mathbf{a})=\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{H}\times\mathbf{a}')=0,\qquad \mathbf{H}\times\mathbf{a}:=-(\mathbf{a}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\cdot\mathbf{H})^s
$$

provides the axes of symmetry $\langle e_i \rangle$ of the cubic harmonic tensor $\mathbf{H} \in \Sigma_{[0]}$.

a is not a covariant of E.

LIKELY CUBIC/ORTHOTROPIC COORDINATE SYSTEM

In the spirit of Klimeš (2018) for transverse isotropy, equation $tr(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{a}') = 0$ can be used to determine a likely cubic/orthotropic coordinate system.

Given a raw elasticity tensor

$$
\mathbf{E}_0 = (\lambda_0, \mu_0, \mathbf{d}'_0, \mathbf{v}'_0, \mathbf{H}_0),
$$

a likely cubic basis (e_1, e_2, e_3) for \mathbf{E}_0 is the eigenbasis of an orthotropic deviatoric second-order tensor a ′ which minimizes

$$
\min_{\|\mathbf{a}'\|=1} \|\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{H}_0\times\mathbf{a}')\|^2, \qquad \mathbf{a}'\in\mathbb{H}^2.
$$

23 / 27

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 唐 ▶ K 唐 ▶

CUBIC ELASTICITY UPPER BOUNDS ESTIMATES

For any orthotropic second-order tensor a, we define

$$
\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{a} := \sqrt{\frac{15}{2}}~\frac{\left(\left(\mathbf{a}^2 \times \mathbf{a}\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{a}^2 \times \mathbf{a}\right)\right)'}{\|\mathbf{a}^2 \times \mathbf{a}\|^2} \in \mathbb{H}^4, \qquad \|\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{a}\| = 1,
$$

of cubic symmetry group $G_{C_a} \in [0]$. We get a cubic tensor

$$
\mathbf{E} = 2\mu_0 \mathbf{I} + \lambda_0 \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + (\mathbf{C}_\mathbf{a} :: \mathbf{H}_0) \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{a} \in \Sigma_{[0]},
$$

and define an upper bound estimate of $d(\mathbf{E}_0, [0])$, as

$$
\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{E}_0, [\mathbb{O}]) = \|\mathbf{E}_0 - \mathbf{E}\|.
$$

The Stahn et al (2020) cubic upper bound estimate is then simply recovered as

$$
M(\mathbf{E}_0, [\mathbb{O}]) = \Delta_{\mathbf{t}_0}(\mathbf{E}_0, [\mathbb{O}]),
$$
 by setting $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{t}_0 = \frac{2}{3} (\mathbf{d}_0 - \mathbf{v}_0) = \frac{2}{3} (\text{tr}_{12} \mathbf{E}_0 - \text{tr}_{13} \mathbf{E}_0) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{max}} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\text{max}} \geq \frac{24}{24/27}$

EXAMPLE OF NI-BASED SUPERALLOY

Consider the elasticity tensor (in Kelvin representation)

$$
[\mathbf{E}_0]=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 243 & 136 & 135 & 22\sqrt{2} & 52\sqrt{2} & -17\sqrt{2} \\ 136 & 239 & 137 & -28\sqrt{2} & 11\sqrt{2} & 16\sqrt{2} \\ 135 & 137 & 233 & 29\sqrt{2} & -49\sqrt{2} & 3\sqrt{2} \\ 22\sqrt{2} & -28\sqrt{2} & 29\sqrt{2} & 133\cdot 2 & -10\cdot 2 & -4\cdot 2 \\ 52\sqrt{2} & 11\sqrt{2} & -49\sqrt{2} & -10\cdot 2 & 119\cdot 2 & -2\cdot 2 \\ -17\sqrt{2} & 16\sqrt{2} & 3\sqrt{2} & -4\cdot 2 & -2\cdot 2 & 130\cdot 2 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{GPa},
$$

measured by François–Geymonat–Berthaud (1998) for a single crystal Ni-based superalloy with a so-called cubic γ/γ' microstructure (Fig. after Mattiello, 2018):

Table: Comparison of upper bounds estimates of the distance to cubic elasticity $d(\mathbf{E}_0, [\mathbb{O}])$ for Ni-based single crystal superalloy.

The material considered has a cubic Ni-based microstructure. All 2nd-order covariants of a cubic elasticity tensor are close to be isotropic. They do not carry information about the cubic coordinate system.

 2 François et al (1998).

CLOSURE

- Possible accurate analytical estimation of the distance of a raw elasticity tensor \mathbf{E}_0 (ex. to cubic symmetry).
- \bullet Key point: the use of a second-order tensor **a** (not necessarily a covariant of \mathbf{E}_0), which carries the likely symmetry coordinate system.
- The optimal tensor **E**, used to define an upper bound estimate as $\mathbb{E}_{0} - \mathbb{E}$, is determined a priori. This allows to consider readily other norms than the Euclidean norm.

 000

LOG-EUCLIDEAN UPPER BOUNDS ESTIMATES

For a given tensor \mathbf{E}_0 , once an elasticity tensor \mathbf{E} either cubic ($\mathbf{E} \in \Sigma_{[0]}$) or orthotropic ($\mathbf{E} \in \Sigma_{\left[\mathbb{D}_2\right]}$) has been computed according to the symmetry group of a second-order tensor, say a, one can easily calculate the upper bounds estimates $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{E}_0, \Sigma_{[G]})$ for any norm.

Since an elasticity tensor has to be positive definite, one can consider the Log-Euclidean norm (Arsigny et al, 2005, Moakher and Norris, 2006),

$$
\|\mathbf{E}\|_{L} := \|\ln(\mathbf{E})\| = \|\ln([\mathbf{E}])\|_{\mathbb{R}^{6}},
$$

which has the property of invariance by inversion. For this norm, the upper bounds estimates of the distance

$$
d(\mathbf{E}_0, \Sigma_{[G]}) = \min_{\Sigma_{[G]}} ||\mathbf{E}_0 - \mathbf{E}||_L,
$$

can then be expressed as

$$
\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{E}_0, \Sigma_{[G]}):= \|\mathbf{E}_0 - \mathbf{E}\|_L = \|\ln(\mathbf{E}_0) - \ln(\mathbf{E})\|.
$$

EXAMPLES WITH LOG-EUCLIDEAN NORM (CUBIC SYMMETRY)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 900

Table: Comparison of cubic upper bounds estimates for Ni-based single crystal superalloy.

The symmetry classes, their number, and their partial ordering are strongly dependent on the tensor type.

There are two symmetry classes for a vector v:

- $[SO(2)]$ (axial symmetry, if $v \neq 0$)
- and $[SO(3)]$ (isotropy, if $v = 0$).

There are three symmetry classes for a symmetric second-order tensor a (and for a deviatoric tensor a [']):

- \bullet [\mathbb{D}_2] (orthotropy, if **a** has three distinct eigenvalues),
- \bullet [O(2)] (transverse isotropy, if **a** has two distinct eigenvalues),
- and $[SO(3)]$ (isotropy, if $\mathbf{a}' = 0$);

The symmetry classes for an harmonic (totally symmetric and traceless) fourth-order tensor H are the same eight symmetry classes as those of an elasticity tensor (Ihrig and Golubitsky, 1984, Forte and Vianello, 1996): $[1], [\mathbb{Z}_2], [\mathbb{D}_2], [\mathbb{D}_3], [\mathbb{D}_4], [\mathbb{O}], [\mathcal{O}(2)]$ and $[\mathcal{SO}(3)]$ ([iso](#page-0-0)[tr](#page-1-0)[opy,](#page-0-0) $\mathbf{H} = 0$ $\mathbf{H} = 0$ $\mathbf{H} = 0$ $\mathbf{H} = 0$ $\mathbf{H} = 0$).

GEOMETRIC CONSEQUENCES

- \bullet the vector covariants $v(E)$ of a monoclinic elasticity tensor E are all collinear,
- 2 the vector covariants $v(E)$ of an elasticity tensor E either orthotropic, tetragonal, trigonal, cubic, transversely isotropic or isotropic, all vanish:

 $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{E}) = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{E} \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}_2} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}_3} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}_4} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}_1} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}_2} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}_3} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{D}_3}$

- \bullet the second-order covariants $c(E)$ of an elasticity tensor either cubic or isotropic are all isotropic,
- \bullet the second-order covariants $c(E)$ of an elasticity tensor E either tetragonal, trigonal or transversely isotropic, of axis ⟨*n*⟩, are all at least transversely isotropic of axis ⟨*n*⟩,
- \bullet the second-order covariants $c(E)$ of an orthotropic elasticity tensor E are all at least orthotropic (and all of them commute with each other).
- \bullet the second-order covariants $c(E)$ of a triclinic elasticity tensor E are all at least orthotropic (but the natural basis may differ from one covariant to another). K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ 『로 『 ① Q ①

Remark

Any other second-order covariant $c(E_0)$ of the elasticity tensor E_0 can be added to the list $\{t_0, d_{20}, \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}'\}$, such as

d₀, **v**₀, **d**₀², **v**₀², **(d**₀**v**₀^{)^{*s*},} $\mathbf{H}_0 : \mathbf{d}_0, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{H}_0 : \mathbf{v}_0, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{H}_0 : \mathbf{d}_0^2, \quad \mathbf{H}_0 : \mathbf{v}_0^2, \quad \mathbf{H}_0 : (\mathbf{d}_0 \mathbf{v}_0)^s,$ $c_3 = H_0 : d_{20}, c_4 = H_0 : c_3, c_5 = H_0 : c_4,$

EXPLICIT HARMONIC DECOMPOSITION

The explicit harmonic decomposition of E is (Backus, 1970, Spencer, 1970)

$$
\mathbf{E} = 2\mu \mathbf{I} + \lambda \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \frac{2}{7} \mathbf{1} \odot (\mathbf{d}' + 2\mathbf{v}') + 2 \mathbf{1} \otimes_{(2,2)} (\mathbf{d}' - \mathbf{v}') + \mathbf{H},
$$

which can also be written as (Cowin, 1989, Baerheim, 1993)

$$
\mathbf{E} = 2\mu \mathbf{I} + \lambda \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}
$$

+ $\frac{1}{7}$ $\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (5\mathbf{d}' - 4\mathbf{v}') + (5\mathbf{d}' - 4\mathbf{v}') \otimes \mathbf{1} + 2\mathbf{1} \underline{\otimes} (6\mathbf{v}' - 4\mathbf{d}') + 2(6\mathbf{v}' - 4\mathbf{d}') \underline{\otimes} \mathbf{1} \right)$
+ $\mathbf{H},$

where $\otimes_{(2,2)}$ is the Young-symmetrized tensor product,

a ⊗(2,2)b = 1 3 (︀ a ⊗ b + b ⊗ a − a ⊗ b − b ⊗ a)︀ , (a ⊗ b)*ijkl* := 1 2 (*aikbjl* + *ailbjk*), *Iijkl* = (1 ⊗ [1](#page-2-0))*ij[kl](#page-4-0)* [=](#page-3-0) 1 [2](#page-4-0) [\(](#page-0-0)*[ik](#page-12-0)[jl](#page-0-0)* [+](#page-12-0) *[il](#page-0-0)[jk](#page-4-0)*). 33 / 27

The normal form $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{O}}$ of the cubic estimate **E** is obtained directly, as

$$
\left[\mathbf{E}\right]=\begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{E})_{1111}& (\mathbf{E})_{1122}& (\mathbf{E})_{1122}&0&0&0\\ (\mathbf{E})_{1122}& (\mathbf{E})_{1111}& (\mathbf{E})_{1122}&0&0&0\\ (\mathbf{E})_{1122}& (\mathbf{E})_{1122}& (\mathbf{E})_{1111}&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&2(\mathbf{E})_{1212}&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&2(\mathbf{E})_{1212}&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&2(\mathbf{E})_{1212} \end{pmatrix},
$$

in Kelvin matrix representation, with

$$
(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{O}})_{1111} = 2\mu_0 + \lambda_0 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{30}} \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{a} :: \mathbf{H}_0,
$$

$$
(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{O}})_{1122} = \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{a} :: \mathbf{H}_0,
$$

$$
(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{O}})_{1212} = \mu_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{30}} \mathbf{C}_\mathbf{a} :: \mathbf{H}_0.
$$