

On thermodynamically admissible data driven computational mechanics

Nawfa BLAL

Mechanics and Contact Structures Laboratory INSA Lyon



 Φ HOPGD: real time simula

Conclusion

•0

Merging Computational Mechanics (CM) and Data Driven (DD) approaches

~

1

1

Data are ubiquitous in computational mechanics



Multi-dimensionality curse (in/out) Material Model ignorance ROM based surrogate models: by pass expansive computational process Model Free solvers: by pass the modeling step (data-simulations paradigm) Data are agnostic → Physically based approaches Introduction

 Φ HOPGD: real time simula

Conclusion



ROM based surrogate model

Real time 10D welding simulations





LaMCoS

Laboratoire de Mécanique des Contacts et des Structures **UMR 5259**

1 Model free CM

2 Variational ROM



 Φ HOPGD: real time simula

Conclusion

0000

Model free computational mechanics

Explicit model computational mechanics

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{s} \mathbf{u} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ &\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{0} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma^{D}; \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{t}_{0} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma^{N} \\ &\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \partial_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \Psi \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \partial_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}} \varphi \end{aligned}$$

Model free computational mechanics

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f} &= \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} &= \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{s} \mathbf{u} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \mathbf{u} &= \mathbf{u}_{0} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma^{D}; \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{t}_{0} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma^{N} \\ \mathcal{M} &= \{ \boldsymbol{z}^{*} \mid \boldsymbol{z}^{*} = (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{*}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{*}) \} \end{aligned}$$

Double distance problem: the DDCM solution is given by [T.Kirchdoerfer and M.Ortiz, 2016]

$$oldsymbol{z}^{\mathsf{sol}} = \min_{oldsymbol{z}^* \in \mathcal{M} oldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{P}} \|oldsymbol{z} - oldsymbol{z}^*\|_ullet^2$$
 with $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{adm}} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\mathsf{adm}}$

Alternate minimization problem: iterative alternate global-local optimization

Global step :
$$(\boldsymbol{z})^{k} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{z}\in\mathcal{P}} \|\boldsymbol{z} - (\boldsymbol{z}^{*})^{k}\|_{\bullet}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}:\mathbb{A}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}+\boldsymbol{\sigma}:\mathbb{A}^{-1}:\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) dV$$

Local step : $(\boldsymbol{z}^{*})^{k+1} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{z}^{*}\in\mathcal{M}} \|\boldsymbol{z}^{*} - (\boldsymbol{z})^{k}\|_{\bullet}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}:\mathbb{A}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}+\boldsymbol{\sigma}:\mathbb{A}^{-1}:\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)$

28 June 24

N. BLAL (LaMCoS)

Conclusion

0000

Tangent distance DDCM for dissipative media¹

- Thermodynamically consistent model free framework
- Tangent distance minimization
- Observable state variables
- Material data basis: $oldsymbol{arepsilon}^*, oldsymbol{\sigma}^*, \Psi^*, \mathbb{C}_{\mathsf{t}}$
- Variational global problem at iteration k + 1 consists in:



having the pair $\boldsymbol{z}^* = (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^*)$, find the pair $\boldsymbol{z} = (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ that minimizes the functional: $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{\eta}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \| (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^* - \Delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^* - \mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{t}} : \Delta \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \|_{\mathbb{A}(\Omega)}^2$ $+ \int_{\Gamma_D} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_0) \, dS + \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f}) \, dV + \int_{\Gamma_N} \bar{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{t}_0) \, dV$

Plasticity evolution: $(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}^*) \to \Psi^*(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*) \to d = \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \Psi^*(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^*)$

¹Pham, D., Blal, N., Gravouil, A., 2023. Tangent distance data driven computational mechanics for irreversible behaviors, (FEAD)

Conclusion

0000

Tangent distance DDCM for dissipative media

Applications

- Mixed Chaboche hardening
 - State laws: $\mathbf{X} = \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{AC\alpha}$, $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{bQq}$
 - Evolution laws: $\dot{\alpha}=\dot{\varepsilon_p}-C\alpha\dot{p}$, $\dot{R}=(1-bq)\dot{p}$
 - Yielding surface: $f(\sigma, X, R) = \sigma_{eq}(\sigma X) \sigma_y R$



• Non-linear isotropic hardening



Concluding remarks

- Good agreement compared to standard FEM
- Data greedy strategy
- Difficulty to experimentally obtain all the needed data
- An hybrid approach: $\| \boldsymbol{z}^* \boldsymbol{z}^{\mathsf{BK}} + \delta \boldsymbol{z} \|$







LaMCoS

Laboratoire de Mécanique des Contacts et des Structures **UMR 5259**

1 Model free CM





Reduced Order Models

- Reducing the system dimension complexity
- Linear(ized) system size significantly reduced
- Need to reduce the dissipation flow equations \rightarrow Hyper-reduction
- Multi-parametric simulations: rapid simulations and real time simulations

Variational Reduced Order Modeling (VROM)

Propose reduced order models devoted to dissipative continua within a variational framework that preserves the constitutive laws structure



(a) Selected element in control volume

D.Ryckelynck. A priori hyperreduction model : an adaptive approach. International Journal of Computational Physics, 202:346-366, 2005 Zhang and al. Efficient hyper reduced-order model (HROM) for parametric studies of the 3D thermo-elasto-plastic calculation. FEAD, 2014



000000000

Thermodynamically admissible Full Order Model

- ► Generalized Standard Materials (GSM) framework
 - State variables: ε strain field, θ the temperature and α internal variables
 - Convex positive semi-continuous potentials: Ψ and φ (or equivalently φ^*)

$$\begin{array}{l} s = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{rev}} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}, \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta}{\theta} \in \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \mathbf{q}}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{irr}} \in \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}, \\ \mathbf{A} \in \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{q} \in \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta / \theta}, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \in \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{irr}}}, \quad \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \in \frac{\partial \varphi^*}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}} \end{array} ; \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{rev}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{irr}}$$

► Extended Lagrangian functional for dissipative media

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_{I} \int_{\Omega} \underbrace{\rho \| \dot{\mathbf{u}} \|^{2}}_{2\mathcal{K}} dV dt \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} \left(\mathbf{u}, \theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right) = \int_{I} \left(\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{E}_{p} - \int_{\Omega} \int \left(\rho \dot{\theta} s - \mathbf{q} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\nabla} \theta}{\theta} \right) d\boldsymbol{\tau} dV - \int_{\Omega} \int \mathcal{D} d\boldsymbol{\tau} dV \right) dt$$

with :
$$\mathcal{D} = \rho \theta s^{\text{irr}} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\text{irr}} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$$
 the irreversible entropy production





► Going variational

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV - \int_{\Omega} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{,\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \mathbf{f}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV = 0 \quad ; \int_{\partial\Omega} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{t}) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u} dV = 0 \quad \forall \delta \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{V}_{u}$$

$$\int_{I} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} \right) \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} dt = 0 \quad \forall \delta \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{V}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$$

$$\int_{I} \int_{\Omega} \int \left(\rho c_{p} \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} - \rho \boldsymbol{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathsf{rev}} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right) - \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathsf{irr}} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right) - r \right) \delta \boldsymbol{\theta} d\tau dV dt = 0$$

► FOM thermo-mechanical local field equations

$$\Rightarrow \left| \begin{cases} \rho \ddot{\mathbf{u}} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{t} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega \\ \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ \rho c_p \dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} = \rho \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathsf{rev}} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathsf{irr}} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \right) + r \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \end{cases} \right|$$



Conclusion

0000000000

Revisiting dissipative ROM within a variational thermodynamically admissible framework

Reduced state variables bases

 $(\mathbf{u}, \theta, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \in \operatorname{Span}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_i\right\}_{i=1..N_u} \times \operatorname{Span}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Theta}_i\right\}_{i=1..N_\theta} \times \operatorname{Span}\left\{\boldsymbol{\Phi}_i\right\}_{i=1..N_a}$

► Variational Reduced Model (VROM) ansatz

$$\mathbf{u}\left(\mathbf{x},t;\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{u}} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{i}\left(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \xi_{i}(t) \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{x},t,\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i}\left(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \alpha_{i}(t) \quad \boldsymbol{\theta}\left(\mathbf{x},t;\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a}} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{i}\left(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)_{i} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}(t)$$

► VROM framework: find the reduced variables solution of the stationary problem $\mathcal{L}^{\text{ROM}} \rightarrow \qquad \text{Stat}$

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathsf{ROM}} o \operatorname*{Stat}_{\{lpha_i\},\{\xi_i\},\{ heta_i\}}$$

keeping a GSM framework:

$$\Psi^{\mathrm{ROM}}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\xi}},\overline{\alpha}) \quad \mathrm{and} \quad \varphi^{\mathrm{ROM}}(\dot{\overline{\alpha}}) \quad \mathrm{s.t.} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{\tau} = \partial_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \Psi^{\mathrm{ROM}} & \overline{X}_a = \partial_{\overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} \Psi^{\mathrm{ROM}} \\ \overline{\mathbf{a}} \in \partial_{\dot{\overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}} \varphi^{\mathrm{ROM}} \Leftrightarrow \dot{\overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} \in \partial_{\overline{\mathbf{a}}} \left(\varphi^{\mathrm{ROM}} \right)^* \end{array} \right.$$



► FOM dissipation potential and non-conservative thermodynamical forces

$$arphi(\dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}) = rac{1}{2}\eta \|\dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}\|^2 \quad ext{where} \quad oldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} = rac{\partial arphi}{\partial \dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}} = \eta \dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}$$

► VROM associated dissipation potential and non-conservative reduced thermodynamical forces

$$\Psi^{\mathsf{ROM}}\left(\overline{oldsymbol{\xi}},\overline{oldsymbol{lpha}},\overline{oldsymbol{ heta}}
ight)= \oint_{\Omega}\Psi dV \quad ext{and} \quad arphi^{\mathsf{ROM}}\left(\dot{\overline{oldsymbol{lpha}}}
ight)= \oint_{\Omega}arphi dV$$



00000000000

Rate dependent materials: e.g. visco-elasticity

► FOM

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{vis}}\left(\mathbf{u},\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\lambda\right) &= \int_{I} \left(\mathcal{K}-\mathcal{E}_{p}-\int_{\Omega}\mathcal{A}:\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{vis}}dV\right)dt + \int_{I}\int_{\Omega}\lambda\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{vis}})dVdt \quad \underbrace{\delta\underline{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{vis}}}_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \rho\ddot{\mathbf{u}} = \operatorname{div}\boldsymbol{\sigma} + \mathbf{f} \\ \eta\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{vis}} \right) &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{vis}}\right):\mathbb{C}:\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{vis}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{vis}}) = \frac{1}{2}\eta\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathrm{vis}}\|^{2} \end{split}$$

VROM

► VROM Euler-Lagrange field equations

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{M} \cdot \ddot{\overline{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} = \boldsymbol{K} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{K}^{\mathsf{c}} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} - \boldsymbol{f} & \left(f_{i} = \left\langle \mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{i} \right\rangle_{L_{2}} & \text{reduced external force} \right) \\ \eta \dot{\overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}} = \boldsymbol{K} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \boldsymbol{K}^{\mathsf{c}} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \end{cases}$$



Rate independent materials

► FOM dissipation potential and non-conservative thermodynamical forces

$$arphi(\dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}) = \sigma \|\dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}\|$$
 where $oldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \in rac{\partial arphi}{\partial \dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}} = \sigma rac{\dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}}{\|\dot{oldsymbol{lpha}}\|}$

VROM associated dissipation potential and non-conservative thermodynamical reduced forces

$$\varphi^{\text{ROM}}\left(\dot{\overline{\alpha}}\right) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(\dot{\alpha}) dV \to \tilde{\varphi}\left(\dot{\overline{\alpha}}\right) = \sigma \|\dot{\overline{\alpha}}\|_2 \Rightarrow \quad \overline{\mathbf{a}} \in \frac{\partial \tilde{\varphi}}{\partial \dot{\overline{\alpha}}} \Leftrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{a}} \in \sigma \frac{\dot{\overline{\alpha}}}{\|\dot{\overline{\alpha}}\|_2}$$

► Reduced evolution law: $\dot{\overline{\alpha}} = \lambda \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \overline{\mathbf{a}}}$ with the yielding VROM surface $\tilde{f}(\mathbf{a}) = \|\overline{\mathbf{a}}\|_2 - \sigma \leq 0$



VROM for rate independent materials

Theorem

• The reduced dissipation potential $\tilde{\varphi} = \sigma \|\dot{\overline{\alpha}}\|_2$ defines a VROM upper bound: $\varphi^{\text{ROM}} \leq \tilde{\varphi}$

Proof

aMCoS

INTER FOR

Consider the concave function $g: \bullet \longmapsto \sigma \sqrt{\bullet}$. The dissipation potential function $\tilde{\varphi}$ can be then written as $\varphi^{\text{ROM}}\left(\dot{\overline{\alpha}}\right) = g\left(\|\dot{\overline{\alpha}}\|_2^2\right)$. The concavity of g and the L2-orthogonality of the reduced modes Φ_i ensure

$$\begin{split} & \int_{\Omega} g(\|\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\|_{2}^{2}) dV \leq g\left(\int_{\Omega} \|\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\|_{2}^{2} dV\right) \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \sigma \|\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\| \leq \sigma \sqrt{\left(\int_{\Omega} \|\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\|_{2} dV\right)} \\ & \Rightarrow \varphi^{\text{ROM}} \leq \sigma \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} \left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{j} dV\right) \dot{\alpha}_{i} \dot{\alpha}_{j}} = \sigma \sqrt{\dot{\alpha}_{i}^{2}} = \sigma \|\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\|_{2} = \tilde{\varphi}\left(\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\right) \end{split}$$

► Example of the reduced basis modes for a heterogeneous matrix-inclusion medium within J2 von Mises plasticity (3 modes)



28 June 24





VROM: concluding remarks

 \blacktriangleright Clustering into N_c clusters $\Omega = \bigcup_{c=1}^{N_c} \Omega_c$ with the ROM ansatz

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}\left(\mathbf{x},t\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}_{c}} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{i}^{\left(c\right)}\left(\mathbf{x}\right) \alpha_{i}^{\left(c\right)}(t) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_{c}$$

The flow evolution law fulfills the normality law $\frac{\dot{\alpha}^{(c)}}{||\mathbf{a}^{(c)}||_2} = \lambda^{(c)} \frac{\mathbf{a}^{(c)}}{||\mathbf{a}^{(c)}||_2} \quad \forall c = 1..N_c$ where the internal

variables flow is orthogonal to the boundary of the reduced domain

$$\tilde{f}^{(c)}\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}^{(c)}}\right) = \|\overline{\mathbf{a}^{(c)}}\|_2 - k_c \sigma \quad k_c = |\Omega_c|/|\Omega|$$

- ► Weakly intrusive reduced order model
- ► Suquet NTFA approach for multi-scale simulations
- ► The proposed rate independent VROM is equivalent to a Linear Comparison Reduced Order Model²

²N. BLAL. Variational Reduced Order Models for dissipative problems within the formalism of standard generalized materials and the extended Lagrangian functional. Submitted.



LaMCoS

Laboratoire de Mécanique des Contacts et des Structures **UMR 5259**

1 Model free CM

2 Variational ROM



0.

Description Description Order Proper Generalized Decomposition (ongoing)

Variables separation representation of the Qol w.r.t the model extra-coordinates

$$\mathbf{u}^{\text{ROM}}\left(\mathbf{x},t,\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{i}(\mathbf{x})\xi_{i}(t) \prod_{j=1}^{d} \zeta_{i}^{j}(\mu_{j}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \min \|\mathbf{u}^{\text{ROM}} - \mathbf{u}^{*}\|$$

- Offline-online approach \rightarrow Adaptive solutions without any need to re-run FE simulations
- ROM builder complexity: data (primal and dual QoI)
- Respecting the material behavior with only primal data and a small number of ROM modes

$$\mathbf{u}^{\text{ROM}}\left(\mathbf{x},t,\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{i}(\mathbf{x})\xi_{i}(t) \prod_{j=1}^{d} \zeta_{i}^{j}(\mu_{j}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \min \|\mathbf{u}^{\text{ROM}} - \mathbf{u}^{*}\| + \langle \Lambda, F(\mathbf{u},\boldsymbol{\alpha};\dot{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) \rangle$$



Conclusions

Data driven approaches are efficient tools to deal with complex multiscale, multi-physics and multi-parametric computational mechanics

- High-dimensional spaces
- Real time simulations
- Uncertainty and variability issues
- Rapid design

Data sciences should not be purely used as data driven process in CM. They need a scientific approach keeping

- Experimental investigations and computational needs
- Physical foundations
- Mathematical considerations

